



# **GACE®** Teacher Leadership Assessment

Task 1: Adult Learning and the Collaborative Culture

Rubric for Step 1: Your Colleagues' Learning Needs and the Task/Project (Textboxes 1.1.1 and 1.1.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues' learning in order to accomplish the task/project.	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues' learning in order to accomplish the task/project.	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to use strategies to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues' learning in order to accomplish the task/project.	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues' learning in order to accomplish the task/project.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.





# Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.1.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
little or no evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group	inconsistent evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group	appropriate evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group	<ul> <li>significant evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group</li> </ul>

# Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.1.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>trivial evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project</li> <li>a minimal plan of action to facilitate learning of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>partial evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project</li> <li>an incomplete plan of action to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>effective evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project</li> <li>an aligned plan of action to facilitate learning of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>insightful evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project</li> <li>a substantive plan of action</li> </ul>
colleagues to accomplish the task/project	colleagues to accomplish the task/project	facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project	to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project





### Rubric for Step 2: Adult Learning — Individuals and the Group (Textboxes 1.2.1 and 1.2.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2.





# Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.2.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
• inaccurate evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an irrelevant rationale	<ul> <li>cursory evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an incomplete rationale</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>informed evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an relevant rationale</li> </ul>	in-depth evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with a detailed rationale
<ul> <li>ineffective evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner</li> <li>unclear evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>partial evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner</li> <li>sketchy evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>effective evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner</li> <li>effective evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>extensive evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner</li> <li>thorough evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner</li> </ul>

### Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.2.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>minimal evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-</li> </ul>	incomplete evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-	complete evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-	<ul> <li>thorough evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-</li> </ul>





	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
	learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a disconnected rationale		learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a loosely connected rationale		learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a connected rationale		learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a tightly connected rationale
•	little or no evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	•	limited evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	•	informed evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	•	extensive evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied

# Rubric for Step 3: Impact of Adult Learning (Textbox 1.3.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level
criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or	criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or	criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and	criteria is <i>insightful</i> and





Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
ineffective throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.	vague throughout the response for Step 3.	connected throughout the response for Step 3.	tightly connected throughout the response for Step 3.

# Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.3.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
•	little or no evidence of how the application of the adult-learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group	incomplete evidence of how the application of the adult- learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group	appropriate evidence of how the application of the adult- learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group	significant evidence of how the application of the adult- learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group
	minimal evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues	limited evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues	logical evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues	substantive evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues
•	minimal evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	partial evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	effective evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	thorough evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning





### Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses</li> </ul>	loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses	connected and effective     examples to support the     responses	tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses

Copyright © 2019 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries. GACE and the GACE logo are registered trademarks of the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC).