



GACE® Teacher Leadership Assessment

Task 1: Adult Learning and the Collaborative Culture

Rubric for Step 1: Your Colleagues’ Learning Needs and the Task/Project (Textboxes 1.1.1 and 1.1.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>A response at the 1-level provides <i>minimal</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues’ learning in order to accomplish the task/project.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.</p>	<p>A response at the 2-level provides <i>partial</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues’ learning in order to accomplish the task/project.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 1.</p>	<p>A response at the 3-level provides <i>effective</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to use strategies to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues’ learning in order to accomplish the task/project.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and <i>connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.</p>	<p>A response at the 4-level provides <i>extensive</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to identify strategies to determine the learning needs of colleagues, both individual and group; to facilitate the selection of a significant task/project with colleagues; and to develop a plan to facilitate colleagues’ learning in order to accomplish the task/project.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.</p>



Rubric for Step 1 (continued)

Score of 0 for Step 1

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered “Not Scoreable” because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 1 for at least one of the following reasons.

- **No written response is in the Task 1—Step 1 textboxes.**
- **The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 1—Step 1.**
- **The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.**
- **None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 1 textboxes.**
 - Representative page of documentation from the plan/project



Response for Textbox 1.1.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>little</i> or <i>no</i> evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>inconsistent</i> evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>appropriate</i> evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>significant</i> evidence of the strategies used to identify the learning needs of both the individuals and the group

Response for Textbox 1.1.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>trivial</i> evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project a <i>minimal</i> plan of action to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>partial</i> evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project an <i>incomplete</i> plan of action to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>effective</i> evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project an <i>aligned</i> plan of action to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project 	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>insightful</i> evidence of facilitating the selection of a task/project with colleagues, with a logical rationale for the significance of the task/project a <i>substantive</i> plan of action to facilitate learning of colleagues to accomplish the task/project



Rubric for Step 2: Adult Learning — Individuals and the Group (Textboxes 1.2.1 and 1.2.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>A response at the 1-level provides <i>minimal</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing.</p>	<p>A response at the 2-level provides <i>partial</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 2.</p>	<p>A response at the 3-level provides <i>effective</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and <i>connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2.</p>	<p>A response at the 4-level provides <i>extensive</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to apply adult-learning strategies with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict; to differentiate strategies for each learner; to scaffold the learning for each learner; to use and/or model adult-learning strategies for the group; and to apply adult-learning strategies with the group and to describe the significance of these interactions.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2.</p>



Rubric for Step 2 (continued)

Score of 0 for Step 2

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered “Not Scoreable” because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 2 for at least one of the following reasons.

- **No written response is in the Task 1—Step 2 textbox.**
- **The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 1—Step 2.**
- **The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.**
- **None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 1 textboxes.**
 - Representative page of documentation from an adult-learning strategy that was used



Rubric for Response for Textbox 1.2.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>inaccurate</i> evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an <i>irrelevant</i> rationale• <i>ineffective</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner• <i>unclear</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>cursory</i> evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an <i>incomplete</i> rationale• <i>partial</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner• <i>sketchy</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>informed</i> evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with an <i>relevant</i> rationale• <i>effective</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner• <i>effective</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>in-depth</i> evidence of the application of adult-learning strategies used with each colleague to support collaboration, solve problems, make decisions, and manage conflict, with a <i>detailed</i> rationale• <i>extensive</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were differentiated for each learner• <i>thorough</i> evidence of how the adult-learning strategies were scaffolded for each learner



Response for Textbox 1.2.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>minimal</i> evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a <i>disconnected</i> rationale• <i>little or no</i> evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>incomplete</i> evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a <i>loosely connected</i> rationale• <i>limited</i> evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>complete</i> evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a <i>connected</i> rationale• <i>informed</i> evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>thorough</i> evidence of the use and/or modeling of adult-learning strategies for the group as a whole, with a <i>tightly connected</i> rationale• <i>extensive</i> evidence of significant interactions in which adult learning strategies were applied



Rubric for Step 3: Impact of Adult Learning (Textbox 1.3.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>A response at the 1-level provides <i>minimal</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.</p>	<p>A response at the 2-level provides <i>partial</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 3.</p>	<p>A response at the 3-level provides <i>effective</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and <i>connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.</p>	<p>A response at the 4-level provides <i>extensive</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate’s ability to determine the overall impact of the adult-learning strategies applied with individuals within the group; to analyze how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership among the colleagues was built; and to determine how work with colleagues impacted student learning.</p> <p>The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Score of 0 for Step 3</p> <p>If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered “Not Scoreable” because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 3 for at least one of the following reasons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No written response is in the Task 1–Step 3 textbox. • The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 1–Step 3. • The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks. • None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 1 textboxes. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Feedback (e.g., e-mails, letters, participant-created forms) from two colleagues within the group—documents their professional growth in the specific task/project as a result of your use of adult learning strategies (for both colleagues) 			



Response for Textbox 1.3.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>little or no</i> evidence of how the application of the adult-learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group• <i>minimal</i> evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues• <i>minimal</i> evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>incomplete</i> evidence of how the application of the adult-learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group• <i>limited</i> evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues• <i>partial</i> evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>appropriate</i> evidence of how the application of the adult-learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group• <i>logical</i> evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues• <i>effective</i> evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>significant</i> evidence of how the application of the adult-learning strategies impacted the individuals in the group• <i>substantive</i> evidence of an analysis of how an environment of trust and a sense of ownership was built among colleagues• <i>thorough</i> evidence of how the work with colleagues impacted student learning



Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>disconnected</i> and/or <i>trivial</i> examples to support the responses	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>loosely connected</i> and/or <i> cursory</i> examples to support the responses	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>connected</i> and <i>effective</i> examples to support the responses	<p>Response provides evidence that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>tightly connected</i> and <i>detailed</i> examples to support the responses

Copyright © 2023 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries. GACE and the GACE logo are registered trademarks of the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC).